

**FEDERAL REVIEW FORM
STATE COUNCIL ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES
FIVE YEAR STATE PLAN- Quality Review System- Tier 2**

Council Name: Arizona

Section 1: Council Identification		
	Strengths	Information provided as requested Executive order citation provided
	Weaknesses	Continual concerns with membership vacancies noted
	Compliance Concerns	Council does not have required representatives - did not include A7 & A8 members
Section 2: Designated State Agency		
	Strengths	MOU present DSA is not a service provider
	Weaknesses	Limited detail on roles and responsibilities of DSA as it relates to the Council
	Compliance Concerns	
Section 3: Comprehensive Review & Analysis		
	Strengths	The CRA was very thorough in providing barriers, data and expected outcomes. Extensive data collection and stakeholder input. Both a review of factual information about the services, needs, and experiences of Arizona resident with I/DD and analysis of where this portrait places the state in terms of fully realizing the vision of the DD Act Innovative approach to some questions, for example, assistive technology.
	Weaknesses	
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 3(E): 5 Year Goals		
	Strengths	Strategically selected goals and objectives Goals – self-advocacy, employment, inclusion Targeted disparity identified
	Weaknesses	Objectives are somewhat broad
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 3 (E) (LM): Logic Model		
	Strengths	Basic logic model with short and intermediate/long term outcomes provided Inputs
	Weaknesses	Available strategies listed in a generic form as the activities. Additional logic model details would further illustrate Council’s Plans and anticipated impact.
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 4: Evaluation Plan		
	Strengths	Council developed a standard form (survey) that is used in projects where a change in knowledge and/or skill is desired. This survey also looks at whether the acquisition of knowledge and skill is put to use in supporting life choices and decisions that are positive. Plan – Do – Study – Act evaluation Performance measures incorporated into grant process
	Weaknesses	
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 5: Projected Council Budget		
	Strengths	Information provided as requested
	Weaknesses	
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 6: Assurances		
	Strengths	Information provided as requested
	Weaknesses	
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 7: Public Input & Review		
	Strengths	11 community forums around the State; diverse locations
	Weaknesses	Limited details as to specific proposed recommendations that came from public input
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 8: Annual Work plan 2017		
	Strengths	Use of multiple strategies, activities to achieve goals. Appears that all objectives will be undertaken collaboratively with other stakeholder groups.
	Weaknesses	Some outputs and key activities are the same (example: conducting meetings) Description of goals in work plan are not measurable (increase/decrease)
	Compliance Concerns	

Section 9: Annual Work plan 2018		
	Strengths	(See 2017 Work Plan for Strengths/weaknesses/concerns)
	Weaknesses	
	Compliance Concerns	